PROTOTYPING A SYSTEM OF AN EARLY BUILDING DAMAGE ASSESSMENT EFFECTED BY AN EARTHQUAKE

Wahyu Ramadhan ¹, Jamaludin ² Bumi Gora University¹ Hamzanwadi University² wahyurama24@gmail.com, jamal.ict@hamzanwadi.ac.id

Abstract - The main purpose of this research is to identify a level of a building damage caused by an earthquake early and in detail by utilizing an information system. Early identification of the building damage will be categorized based on three categories, namely minor damage, moderate damage and major damage. Each classification has standards and calculations set up by the government. To find out the damage categories, a current study was carried out on how to develop an information system that could be used by evaluators in determining conclusions according to observation results. Related to the research and development method, and adapting the Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation and Evaluation (ADDIE) development model, researchers collaborate between the ADDIE model and the standard building damage values decided by the government in a real time. The design of the early damage assessment tool for buildings is still under development and is still a prototype system.

Keywords: Buliding Damage, Information System, ADDIE

1. Introduction

Indonesia as one of the countries with very high frequency of earthquakes requires the officers in all levels to mitigate disasters. Based on data from the Meteorology Climatology and Geophysics Agency (BMKG), the average number of earthquakes each month in Indonesia is 400 times. Even in the period 1991 to 2007, there were 24 major earthquakes, including the Aceh earthquake on December 26, 2004 with 9.3 SR. In July and August 2018, earthquakes with a magnitude of 6.2 SR and 7.0 SR respectively occurred in Lombok. According to the data from the National Disaster Management Agency (BNPB), 83,392 building units are damaged. Distribution of 83,392 damaged buildings were found in North Lombok Regency 23,098 units (verified 12,493 units), West Lombok 37,285 units (11,787 units), East Lombok 7,280 units (3,121 units), Central Lombok 4,629 units (3,246 units), Mataram City 2,060 units (11,787 units) 1,482 units) and Sumbawa 9,040 units. Based on the visible data, a feasible system is developed to assist evaluators in categorizing building conditions rapidly and closely. The actual data are then reported through to the authorities the application after an earthquake disaster. In fact, the disaster management can be done precisely.

2. Method

Research and Development Method (Research and Development) is a research method used to produce certain products, and test the effectiveness of these products (Sugiyono, 2013: 297). The selection of this method is considered the most appropriate because before developing the realm of the information system or technology to be used, researchers must first make various initiations as guidelines for developing information systems. To support the development method, the researcher adopts an Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation and Evaluation (ADDIE) information system development model developed by Dick and Carry (1996). This development model is used as a guide in every step of the system development.

1. Analysis

The analysis phase is the initial stage carried out to gather various information related to data, facts, events or other references that can help researchers to do the system design needed. In-depth, thorough and clear analysis of the problems discussed will determine the accuracy of the algorithm which will affect the efficiency of the system and the ease of user (user Friendly) information systems developed. Another analysis needed is the choice of technology to be used as a core engine that supports multiple platforms on a user's device. Python as a core engine with Django framework, MySQL database and nginx as a webserver optimally supports the system.

Prototyping A System Of An Early Building Damage Assessment Effected By An Earthquake

3. Data Collection

References The information used in this study is based on a report submitted by Stavros A. Anagnostopoulos, Marina Moretti. M. Panoutsopoulou, D. Panagiotopoulou, T. Thoma [5] from the European Commission - D.G. Environment Civil Protection - EPPO in a report entitled "Assessment of Damage and Feasibility of Post-Earthquake Buildings: Further Development and Application". The report divides building inspection into two stages, namely rapid and detailed assessment. Rapid assessment is intended to identify buildings that are clearly not damaged so that they are safe and that are clearly not safe. Detailed assessment is intended to provide a final report on building safety. After a detailed assessment is carried out the evaluator will provide the building code in three color codes namely Safe to use (green) is the status of the building that has suffered minor damage and does not show signs of reduced seismic capacity. If there are local hazards, hazardous areas can be installed with barricades and access to buildings is blocked and marked "unsafe to use". Hazard Used (red) is the status of a building whose original seismic capacity drops dramatically and is therefore prone to sudden collapse even due to small aftershocks. Entry into the building is prohibited and the need for emergency support and surrounding protection must be considered. Unsafe to use (yellow) is the status of a building whose seismic capacity is reduced, although it does not have the danger of a sudden collapse and this building must be repaired before it can be inhabited continuously. Although some of these buildings may also require emergency support, there is a risk of entering them for a short time, for example to take valuables, secure the contents of an apartment etc. considered low (but should not be ignored). It must be remembered that buildings in this category have the greatest uncertainty in classification; if the inspector is doubtful about his evaluation, he must take a conservative attitude. Parameter of building damage based on:

NO	Parameter	
1	Building Structure Condition	
	Question	
	a) Intact	
	b) <i>Partial</i>	
	c) Total Collapse	
2	Learning building is measured by a strand of	
	thread of 100 cm long)	
	Question	
	a) <i>None/minor</i> (i <0,75 cm/100 cm))	
	b) <i>Medium</i> (0,75 cm/100 cm <= i < 1,5	
	cm/100 cm)	
	c) <i>Major</i> (i > 1,5 cm/100 cm)	
3	Building structure condition	
	Question	
	a) None/minor (d<7,5 cm)	
	b) Medium (7.5 cm < d < 15 cm)	

p-ISSN: 2087-894X

e-ISSN: 2656-615X

	c) Major (d>15 cm)		
4	Most severe column demages)		
4	Most severe column damages)		
	Question		
	a) None/minor (d<7,5 cm)		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		
5	Most severe beam damages		
	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Maior		
6	Most sovere beam & column joint damage		
0	Question		
	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		
7	Most severe shear wall damage		
	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		
8	Most severe bracing damages		
_	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	h) Medium		
	Major		
0	Maat aavera flaar plata damaga		
9	Question		
	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Maium		
-			
1	Most severe stairs damage		
0	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		
1	Wall or partition damage/crack		
1	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		
1	Most severe damage on doors and windows		
2	Question		
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		
1	Most severe ceiling damages		
3	Question		
Ŭ	a) None/minor		
	h) Medium		
	c) Major		
4	U) IVIAJUI		
	NUOSI Severe TOOL Gamages		
4			
	a) None/minor		
	b) Medium		
	c) Major		

Table. Parameter of building damage

Prototyping A System Of An Early Building Damage Assessment Effected By An Earthquake

p-ISSN : 2087-894X e-ISSN : 2656-615X

4. Design

To facilitate the development of information systems based on these parameters requires an algorithm, system architecture design and a precise and responsive core engine when running. The problem solving structure of the application built is as follows:

System Architecture



Picture 1. System architecture

Core engine system that will be developed using Python, so that making this application will be fast and good performance and easy to develop, this is seen from many large companies in the world such as Google using python as a core engine.

Because this is a web base application, Nginx is needed as a web server and is supported by Gunicorn for web server optimization so that it is faster and more efficient. This system will be installed on a cloud base server so that it is easy to access and maintain. So this application, if used simply open the browser and access the address of the application server.

Why is it web-based, so as not to limit the type of platform that can access the server, be it a desktop with any operating system, and mobile both Android and IOS so that under any circumstances in the field the application can still be utilized. With web-based technology now, it can be designed according to device size, so this application is responsive to device size.

- Development

Making an application begins with gathering information on the field technical team and collecting the types of forms that were originally used. The next step is to determine the role of each in the information system to assess the early damage to buildings affected by the earthquake. The mapping of actors involved in this information system is as follows.



Picture 2. Use Case Dlagram

5. Implementation

The prototype system that has been developed until now is still in the form of an incomplete mockup system. However, it can display the location according to the coordinates and contained in the map.

Kondisi Struktur Bangunan(Building	🔘 c) Berat(Major)
Structure Condition)	
🔘 a) Utuh(Intact)	Choose File No file chosen
🔘 b) Runtuh sebagian(Partial)	Choose File No file chosen
🔵 c) Runtuh total(Total Collapse)	Choose File No file chosen
Choose File No file chosen	Persentase (%) kerusakan
Choose File No file chosen	
Choose File No file chosen	
Persentase (%) kerusakan	
	. Kerusakan atap terparah(Most severe roof damages)
	a) Tidak ada/ringan(None/minor)
	b) Sedang(Medium)
	C c) Berat(Major)
Bangunan condong, diukur dengan unting-	
unting panjang benang 100 cm(Learning	Choose File No file chosen
building is measured by a strand of thread of	Choose File No file chosen
100 cm long)	Choose File No file chosen
] a) Tidak ada/kecil (i <0,75 cm/100 cm)(None/minor(i <0,75	Persentase (%) kerusakan
cm/100 cm))	
b) Sedang (0,75 cm/100 cm <= i < 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Medium(0,75 cm/100 cm))	
cm/100 cm <= i < 1,5 cm/100 cm))	
C c) Bosar (i > 1 E cm/100 cm)/Major(i > 1 E cm/100 cm))	
c) Besar (i > 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i > 1,5 cm/100 cm))	
c) Besar (i>1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i>1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen	Ourak Barat Ourak Sadang Ourak Dingan
c) Besar (i>1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i>1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	💿 Rusak Berat 🔵 Rusak Sedang 🔵 Rusak Ringan
choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	💿 Rusak Berat 🔵 Rusak Sedang 🔵 Rusak Ringan
choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit
c) Besar (i>1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i>1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit
c) Besar (i > 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i > 1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas
c Besar (i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan
c Besar (i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu
c Besar (i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan ✓ Kayu
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Junlah Lantai Jun
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Jumlah Lantai 1
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Alamat bangunan : Waktu assessment :	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu v Jumlah Lantai 1 Tahun pembuatan
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Imab Lantai I Tahun pembuatan Imab Lantai
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Junlah Lantai 1 Tahun pembuatan Panjang
c) Besar (i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Waktu assessment : 0 Ø 315 PM Tanggal assessment : • Maximum •	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Kayu Juntab Lantai I Tahun pembuatan Image: Panjang I
c) Besar (i> 1,5 cm/100 cm)(Major(i) 1,5 cm/100 cm)) Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Alamat bangunan :	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Junlah Latai ✓ 1 Tahun pembuatan Panjang Ø I dentitas
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan ✓ Kayu Jumlah Lantai ✓ 1 Tahun pembuatan Panjang ✓ 0 Lebar
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Kayu Jumlah Lantai 1 Tahun pembuatan 1 Panjang 0 Lebar 0
choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Kayu Kayu I Tahun pembuatan Panjang 0 Lebar 0 Nama bangunan
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan ✓ Rayu ✓ I Juntab Lantai ✓ I Tahun pembuatan Panjang ✓ 0 Lebar ✓ 0 Nama bangunan
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Namat bangunan :	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan ✓ Kayu Jumlah Lantai ✓ 1 Tahun pembuatan Panjang ✓ 0 Lebar ✓ 0 Namas bangunan ※ Alamat bangunan :
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan ✓ Kayu Jumlah Lantai ✓ 1 Tahun pembuatan Panjang ✓ ○ Lebar ✓ ○ Nama bangunan ※ Alamat bangunan :
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Jumlah Lantai I Tahun pembuatan I Panjang I Panjang I I Rusak Sedang I I Tahun pembuatan I I I I I IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan ✓ Kayu Juniah Lantai ✓ I Tahun pembuatan Ø Lebar ✓ Nama bangunan Ø Alamat bangunan :
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu Kayu Juntab Lantai I Tahun pembuatan Panjang 0 Lebar 0 Nama bangunan Image: Comparison of the second sec
Choose File No file chosen	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu I Juniah Latai I Tahun pembuatan Panjang 0 Lebar 0 Nama bangunan Ramat bangunan : Waktu assessment :
Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Alamat bangunan : Waktu assessment : D 315 PM Tanggal assessment : D 415 PM Tanggal assessment : D 515 PM Tanggal assessment : D 615 PM Tanggal assessment : D 715 P	Rusak Berat Rusak Sedang Rusak Ringan Submit Identitas Struktur Bangunan Kayu I Jumlah Lantai I Tahun pembuatan I Panjang 0 Lebar 0 Alamat bangunan I Waktu assessment : 315 PM

Picture 3. Form entry

Prototyping A System Of An Early Building Damage Assessment Effected By An Earthquake

-Evaluation

The evaluation of the building damage assessment system for earthquake effects is carried out through a crosscheck of damage parameters set by the government. The format of the report will be assessed by the field evaluator team as backup data for other purposes

6. Result

The design of an early building damage assessment system by following the Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation and Evaluation (ADDIE) development model is still in the process of developing and is a prototype system, where the prototype is still at the limit of analyzing early building damage in the category of minor building damage. At the end of this study, a system will be developed to assess early damage to buildings caused by the effects of the earthquake. The output of the system will help field evaluators who have the qualifications to determine the early categories of damage in detail as material for making decisions quickly and can provide appropriate treatment.

References:

- [1] Anita F. (2013), *Panduan Praktis pemeriksaan* Bangunan Akibat Gempa Bumi, Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Permukiman PUPR.Bandung
- [2] BMKG. 2019. http://repogempa.bmkg.go.id/

[3] BNPB. 2019 <u>https://www.bnpb.go.id/32129-unit-rumah-rusak-akibat-gempa-lombok-telah-diverifikasi-upaya-perbaikan-rumah-terus-dilakukan,</u>

- [4] Sugiyono. (2013: 297), *Metode Penelitian dan Pengembangan*, Alfabeta. Bandung.
- [5] Stavros A. Anagnostopoulos. (2012), Penilaian Kerusakan dan Kelayakan Bangunan PascaGempa: Pengembangan dan Aplikasi Lebih Lanjut, European Commission - D.G. Environment Civil Protection – EPPO.

[6] Kadir, Abdul, Pengenalan Sistem Informasi. ANDI Offset. Yogyakarta.